



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/240,893	01/29/1999	G. ALEX TERRY	ITC:9905	7923	
75	590 05/21/2002				
Michael J. Thomas Senniger, Powers, Leavitt & Roedel One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, DUC MINH		
St. Louis, MO	63102		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2643		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/240,893	TERRY, ALEX
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Duc Nguyen	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by such any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-52 and 57-65</u> is/are pending i	n the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-52 and 57-65</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.	·
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by th	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) 🔲 approved b) 🔲 di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	oplication No
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li></ul>	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language	•	
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No</li> </ol>	) 5) Notice of Ir	iummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 22

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 45-46, 57, 60-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Verderamo et al (6,230,145).

Consider claim 45. Verderamo teaches a method for providing a user access over a data network (col. 1, ln. 20-44) to select billing records associated with an account of the user, comprising storing billing records associated with the user's account in a database (server 18; col. 2, ln. 66 to col. 3, ln. 34); receiving a query containing one or more parameters from the user over

Art Unit: 2643

the data network, the query seeking which of the billing records associated with the user's account satisfy the one or more parameters of the query (see the abstract, e.g., the requested information entered into the entry boxes is then processed at the host. A GUI having bank card transaction data associated with the processed requested information is then displayed on the computer); searching the data base for individual billing records associated with the user's account which satisfy the one or more parameters of the query received from the user (fig. 13-15, 19, 23, 25, 28-29, 33A-B, 35 display a plurality of individual record requested by the user); and displaying results of the searching to the user over the data network, including displaying the individual billing records associated with the user's account which satisfy the one or more parameters of the query received from the user (fig. 13-15, 19, 23, 25, 28-29, 33A-B, 35 display a plurality of individual record requested by the user).

Consider claim 46. Verderamo further teaches accessing new billing records for which an invoice has not yet been issued to the user (daily funding information; col. 3, ln. 49-64). It is inherently that the daily funding information would include the new billing records for which an invoice (e.g., monthly invoice) has not yet been issued to the user.

Consider claim 57. (The abstract; Col. 1, ln. 20-44; col. 2, ln. 37-40) read on the limitations of claim 57.

Consider claims 60-61. (Col. 1, ln. 20-44; col. 2, ln. 37-40) read on the limitations of claims 60-61.

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 62. Verderamo teaches a method for providing a user access over a data network (col. 1, ln. 20-44; col. 2, ln. 37-40) to billing records associated with an account of the user, comprising storing billing records associated with the user's account in a database (server 18; col. 2, ln. 66 to col. 3, ln. 34), including new billing records for which an invoice has not yet been issued to the user (daily funding information; col. 3, ln. 49-64); receiving a request from the user over the data network to view the stored billing records (see fig. 13-15, 19, 23, 33A-B, 35); and displaying the stored billing records to the user over the data network, including displaying the new billing records for which an invoice has not yet been issued to the user (col. 3, ln. 49-64). It is inherently that the daily funding information would include the new billing records for which an invoice (e.g., monthly invoice) has not yet been issued to the user.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 47-49, 51, 58, 63-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verderamo et al (6,230,145) in view of Pickering (5,483,445).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claims 47-49. Verderamo does not teach the billing records are telecommunication billing records, web service, Internet service, a telephone service and pager service.

Pickering teaches the billing records are telecommunication billing records, web service, Internet service, a telephone service and pager service (fig. 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Pickering into the teachings of Verderamo in order to provide the customer or consumer with a convenient, simple and time and money saving bill payment method.

Consider claim 51. Pickering further teaches the billing records relate to charges from a plurality of entities (see fig. 7).

Consider claim 58. Pickering further teaches the billing records relate to a plurality of differing communication devices (fig. 7).

Consider claims 63-65. Pickering further teaches the billing records are telecommunication billing records, web service, Internet service, a telephone service and pager service (fig. 7).

5. Claims 50, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verderamo et al (6,230,145) in view of Flood (5,864,613).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 50. Verderamo does not teach the step of providing the user with a customized event monitor, the event monitor alerting the user when telephone transactions meet a specified criteria.

Flood teaches a long distance transaction event monitor, the event monitor comprising an interface (column 4 lines 8-18); query logic and event monitor (switch intelligence 110 which includes computer system 200; see the abstract; column 1 line 66 to column 2 line 8; column 2 line 60 to column 3 line 10; column 3 line 22 to column 4 line 6 line 5; column 7 line 66 to column 8 line 49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Flood into the teachings of Verderamo in order to effectively control the cost of telephone usage.

Consider claim 52. Flood further teaches disabling the telecommunication device when a user-specified criteria relating to the billing records is satisfied (col. 1, ln. 55 to col. 2, ln. 8; col. 4, ln. 42-50; col. 5, ln. 32 to col. 6, ln. 5).

6. Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verderamo et al (6,230,145) in view of Brandt et al (6,377,993).

Consider claim 59. Verderamo does not teach the query are selected from group consisting of calling device, device called, area called, transaction duration, transaction cost, date of transaction, and time of transaction.

Art Unit: 2643

Brandt teaches the GUI enabling the requesting, customizing, scheduling and viewing of various types of priced call detail data reports pertaining to a customer's usage of telecommunications services (see the abstract; col. 3, ln. 36-61; col. 4, ln. 28-58). CDR - Call Detail Record is defined as an accounting record produced by Switches to track Call Type, Time, Duration, Facilities used, Originator, Destination, etc. CDRs are used for customer billing, rate determination, network monitoring, and facility capacity planning. Therefore, Brandt inherently discloses the query are selected from group consisting of calling device, device called, area called, transaction duration, transaction cost, date of transaction, and time of transaction.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Brandt into the teachings of Verderamo in order to enable customers to access their own relevant data information timely, rapidly and accurately through the GUI client interface.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7527.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2643

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306 or (703) 308-6296 (Group's Fax numbers) (703) 746-7251 (Examiner's Fax number, only for proposed amendment)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

May 16, 2002

DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Junjuer